

# AGM Revision in Description Logics under Fixed-Domain Semantics

Faiq Miftakhul Falakh, Sebastian Rudolph

Technische Universität Dresden, Germany

DL Workshop, August 2022

# Revision Problem

**Belief revision:** incorporating new information into knowledge base consistently with minimal change.

- $\mathcal{K} = \{\text{Professor} \sqsubseteq \text{Lecturer}, \text{Professor}(\text{sebastian})\}$
- $\mathcal{K}' = \{\text{Professor} \sqsubseteq \top, \text{Lecturer} \sqsubseteq \top, \text{Professor}(\text{markus}), \neg \text{Lecturer}(\text{sebastian})\}$

How to add  $\mathcal{K}'$  to  $\mathcal{K}$  so that the revision result  $(\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}')$  is still consistent?

- :  $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{L}) \times \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{L})$  is a **change operator**, where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the set of all sentences in DL

# AGM Postulates [AGM85]

- (G1)  $\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}' \models \mathcal{K}'$ .
- (G2) If  $\mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{K}'$  is consistent, then  $\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}' \equiv \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{K}'$ .
- (G3) If  $\mathcal{K}'$  is consistent then  $\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}'$  is consistent.
- (G4) If  $\mathcal{K}_1 \equiv \mathcal{K}_2$  and  $\mathcal{K}_1 \equiv \mathcal{K}_2$  then  $\mathcal{K}_1 \circ \mathcal{K}_1 \equiv \mathcal{K}_2 \circ \mathcal{K}_2$ .
- (G5)  $(\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}_1) \cup \mathcal{K}_2 \models \mathcal{K} \circ (\mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2)$ .
- (G6) If  $(\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}_1) \cup \mathcal{K}_2$  is consistent, then  $\mathcal{K} \circ (\mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2) \models (\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}_1) \cup \mathcal{K}_2$ .

# AGM Postulates [AGM85]

- (G1)  $\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}' \models \mathcal{K}'$ .
- (G2) If  $\mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{K}'$  is consistent, then  $\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}' \equiv \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{K}'$ .
- (G3) If  $\mathcal{K}'$  is consistent then  $\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}'$  is consistent.
- (G4) If  $\mathcal{K}_1 \equiv \mathcal{K}_2$  and  $\mathcal{K}_1 \equiv \mathcal{K}_2$  then  $\mathcal{K}_1 \circ \mathcal{K}_1 \equiv \mathcal{K}_2 \circ \mathcal{K}_2$ .
- (G5)  $(\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}_1) \cup \mathcal{K}_2 \models \mathcal{K} \circ (\mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2)$ .
- (G6) If  $(\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}_1) \cup \mathcal{K}_2$  is consistent, then  $\mathcal{K} \circ (\mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2) \models (\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}_1) \cup \mathcal{K}_2$ .

**Postulates  $\Leftarrow$  Operators  $\Rightarrow$  Construction**

Construction:

- Syntax-based approach
- Semantic-based approach/model-based approach

# Approaches for DL Revision (1/2)

**Syntax-based approaches:** modify or remove the axioms of the KB.

$$\mathcal{K} = \{\textit{Professor} \sqsubseteq \textit{Lecturer}, \textit{Professor}(\textit{sebastian})\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}' = \{\textit{Professor} \sqsubseteq \top, \textit{Lecturer} \sqsubseteq \top, \textit{Professor}(\textit{markus}), \neg \textit{Lecturer}(\textit{sebastian})\}$$

e.g. Justification-based revision [HWKP06]:

$$\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}' = \{\textit{Professor} \sqsubseteq \textit{Lecturer}, \textit{Professor} \sqsubseteq \top, \textit{Lecturer} \sqsubseteq \top, \textit{Professor}(\textit{markus}), \neg \textit{Lecturer}(\textit{sebastian})\}$$

OR

$$\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}' = \{\textit{Professor}(\textit{sebastian}), \textit{Professor} \sqsubseteq \top, \textit{Lecturer} \sqsubseteq \top, \textit{Professor}(\textit{markus}), \neg \textit{Lecturer}(\textit{sebastian})\}$$

**Issues:** considered only semi-revision [HWKP06] and could not satisfy all AGM postulates [QLB06]

# Approaches for DL Revision (2/2)

## Semantic-based approaches:

- investigate the models of the KB,
- search for the most plausible set of models to be the revision result, and
- generate a KB which corresponds to the produced model set.

$$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{Professor} \sqsubseteq \text{Lecturer}, \text{Professor}(\text{sebastian})\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}' = \{\text{Professor} \sqsubseteq \top, \text{Lecturer} \sqsubseteq \top, \text{Professor}(\text{markus}), \neg \text{Lecturer}(\text{sebastian})\}$$

$\llbracket \mathcal{K} \rrbracket$ ?

- $\mathcal{I}_1 : \Delta = \{a\}, \text{Professor}^{\mathcal{I}_1} = \{a\}, \text{Lecturer}^{\mathcal{I}_1} = \{a\}, \text{sebastian}^{\mathcal{I}_1} = a,$
- ...

**Issues in standard semantics:** infinitely many models and some models might not be expressible [Liu+06]

# Fixed-Domain Semantics for DL [GRS16]

Given a non-empty finite set  $\Delta \subseteq N_I$  called **fixed domain**, an interpretation  $\mathcal{I} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}})$  is said to be  $\Delta$ -fixed if  $\Delta^{\mathcal{I}} = \Delta$  and  $a^{\mathcal{I}} = a$  for all  $a \in \Delta$ .

- Restrict the models to have the domain that is fixed upfront.
- Reasoner: Wolpertinger<sup>1</sup>, allows satisfiability checking and model enumeration.

How do we define (concrete) AGM revision operators in DL under fixed-domain semantics?

Answer:

- 1) Model-based approach using distance between models.
- 2) Individual-based approach by axioms weakening.

---

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/wolpertinger-reasoner>

# Semantic Characterization (Adapted from [KM91])

- Assignment  $\preceq_{()} : \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ , maps  $\mathcal{K}$  to  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$ , where  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a total relation over the set of all interpretations  $\Omega$ .  
Idea: for each KB, assign an order to measure “how close” the interpretations to the KB models.

# Semantic Characterization (Adapted from [KM91])

- Assignment  $\preceq_{(\cdot)}: \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ , maps  $\mathcal{K}$  to  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$ , where  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a total relation over the set of all interpretations  $\Omega$ .  
Idea: for each KB, assign an order to measure “how close” the interpretations to the KB models.
- faithfulness-conditions for  $\preceq_{(\cdot)}$ :
  - (F1) If  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}' \models \mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \prec_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}'$  does not hold.
  - (F2) If  $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{I}' \not\models \mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \prec_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}'$ .
  - (F3) If  $\mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{K}'$ , then  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}} = \preceq_{\mathcal{K}'}$ .

# Semantic Characterization (Adapted from [KM91])

- Assignment  $\preceq_{(.)}: \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ , maps  $\mathcal{K}$  to  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$ , where  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a total relation over the set of all interpretations  $\Omega$ .  
Idea: for each KB, assign an order to measure “how close” the interpretations to the KB models.
- faithfulness-conditions for  $\preceq_{(.)}$ :
  - (F1) If  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}' \models \mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \prec_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}'$  does not hold.
  - (F2) If  $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{I}' \not\models \mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \prec_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}'$ .
  - (F3) If  $\mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{K}'$ , then  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}} = \preceq_{\mathcal{K}'}$ .
- $\preceq_{(.)}$  is a preorder assignment if  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a preorder (reflexive and transitive).

# Semantic Characterization (Adapted from [KM91])

- Assignment  $\preceq_{(.)} : \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ , maps  $\mathcal{K}$  to  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$ , where  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a total relation over the set of all interpretations  $\Omega$ .  
Idea: for each KB, assign an order to measure “how close” the interpretations to the KB models.
- faithfulness-conditions for  $\preceq_{(.)}$ :
  - (F1) If  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}' \models \mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \prec_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}'$  does not hold.
  - (F2) If  $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{I}' \not\models \mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \prec_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}'$ .
  - (F3) If  $\mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{K}'$ , then  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}} = \preceq_{\mathcal{K}'}$ .
- $\preceq_{(.)}$  is a preorder assignment if  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a preorder (reflexive and transitive).
- A change operator  $\circ$  is called compatible with some assignment  $\preceq_{(.)}$  if  $[\![\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}']\!] = \min([\![\mathcal{K}']\!], \preceq_{\mathcal{K}})$ .

# Semantic Characterization (Adapted from [KM91])

- Assignment  $\preceq_{(.)} : \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ , maps  $\mathcal{K}$  to  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$ , where  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a total relation over the set of all interpretations  $\Omega$ .  
Idea: for each KB, assign an order to measure “how close” the interpretations to the KB models.
- faithfulness-conditions for  $\preceq_{(.)}$ :
  - (F1) If  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}' \models \mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \prec_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}'$  does not hold.
  - (F2) If  $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{I}' \not\models \mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \prec_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I}'$ .
  - (F3) If  $\mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{K}'$ , then  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}} = \preceq_{\mathcal{K}'}$ .
- $\preceq_{(.)}$  is a preorder assignment if  $\preceq_{\mathcal{K}}$  is a preorder (reflexive and transitive).
- A change operator  $\circ$  is called compatible with some assignment  $\preceq_{(.)}$  if  $[\![\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{K}']\!] = \min([\![\mathcal{K}']\!], \preceq_{\mathcal{K}})$ .

## Representation Theorem

In  $\mathcal{SROIQ}$  under fixed-domain semantics, an operator  $\circ$  satisfies (G1)–(G6) if and only if  $\circ$  is compatible with some faithful preorder assignment.

# Model-based Approach

- Idea: find the minimal models of  $\mathcal{K}'$  by calculating distance between models (inspired by [Dal88])
- Let  $Gr(\mathcal{I})$  be the set of all ground facts (incl. individual equality) of  $\mathcal{I}$
- $dist(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}') = |(Gr(\mathcal{I}) \cup Gr(\mathcal{I}')) \setminus (Gr(\mathcal{I}) \cap Gr(\mathcal{I}'))|$
- $dist([\mathcal{K}]_\Delta, \mathcal{I}') = \min_{\mathcal{I} \in [\mathcal{K}]_\Delta} dist(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}')$

# Model-based Approach

- Idea: find the minimal models of  $\mathcal{K}'$  by calculating distance between models (inspired by [Dal88])
- Let  $Gr(\mathcal{I})$  be the set of all ground facts (incl. individual equality) of  $\mathcal{I}$
- $dist(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}') = |(Gr(\mathcal{I}) \cup Gr(\mathcal{I}')) \setminus (Gr(\mathcal{I}) \cap Gr(\mathcal{I}'))|$
- $dist([\![\mathcal{K}]\!]_\Delta, \mathcal{I}') = \min_{\mathcal{I} \in [\![\mathcal{K}]\!]_\Delta} dist(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}')$

## Model-based revision operator

We define  $\mathcal{I}_1 \preceq_{\mathcal{K}}^\Delta \mathcal{I}_2$  if and only if  $dist([\![\mathcal{K}]\!]_\Delta, \mathcal{I}_1) \leq dist([\![\mathcal{K}]\!]_\Delta, \mathcal{I}_2)$  for all interpretations  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$ . We define the **model-based revision operator**  $\circ_\Delta$  as follows:

$$[\![\mathcal{K} \circ_\Delta \mathcal{K}']\!]_\Delta = \min([\![\mathcal{K}']\!]_\Delta, \preceq_{\mathcal{K}}^\Delta)$$

# From models to KB

Let  $\mathcal{I}_i$  be a  $\Delta$ -interpretation, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\mathcal{I}_i) = & \left( \prod_{a \in N_I(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \Delta, d \in \Delta \text{ and } a^{\mathcal{I}_i} = d} \exists u.(\{a\} \sqcap \{d\}) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{C \in N_C} \prod_{d \in \Delta \text{ and } d \in C^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u.(\{d\} \sqcap C) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{C \in N_C} \prod_{d \in \Delta \text{ and } d \notin C^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u.(\{d\} \sqcap \neg C) \right) \sqcap \\ & \left( \prod_{r \in N_R} \prod_{d, e \in \Delta \text{ and } (d, e) \in r^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u.(\{d\} \sqcap \exists r. \{e\}) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{r \in N_R} \prod_{d, e \in \Delta \text{ and } (d, e) \notin r^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u.(\{d\} \sqcap \neg \exists r. \{e\}) \right), \text{ where } u \text{ is the universal role.}\end{aligned}$$

# From models to KB

Let  $\mathcal{I}_i$  be a  $\Delta$ -interpretation, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\mathcal{I}_i) = & \left( \prod_{a \in N_I(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \Delta, d \in \Delta \text{ and } a^{\mathcal{I}_i} = d} \exists u.(\{a\} \sqcap \{d\}) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{C \in N_C} \prod_{d \in \Delta \text{ and } d \in C^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u.(\{d\} \sqcap C) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{C \in N_C} \prod_{d \in \Delta \text{ and } d \notin C^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u.(\{d\} \sqcap \neg C) \right) \sqcap \\ & \left( \prod_{r \in N_R} \prod_{d, e \in \Delta \text{ and } (d, e) \in r^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u.(\{d\} \sqcap \exists r. \{e\}) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{r \in N_R} \prod_{d, e \in \Delta \text{ and } (d, e) \notin r^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u.(\{d\} \sqcap \neg \exists r. \{e\}) \right), \text{ where } u \text{ is the universal role.}\end{aligned}$$

Given a set of  $\Delta$ -interpretations  $\{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}$ , we define

$$form(\{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}) = \top \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\tau(\mathcal{I}_i))$$

# From models to KB

Let  $\mathcal{I}_i$  be a  $\Delta$ -interpretation, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\mathcal{I}_i) = & \left( \prod_{a \in N_I(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \Delta, d \in \Delta \text{ and } a^{\mathcal{I}_i} = d} \exists u.(\{a\} \sqcap \{d\}) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{C \in N_C} \prod_{d \in \Delta \text{ and } d \in C^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u.(\{d\} \sqcap C) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{C \in N_C} \prod_{d \in \Delta \text{ and } d \notin C^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u.(\{d\} \sqcap \neg C) \right) \sqcap \\ & \left( \prod_{r \in N_R} \prod_{d, e \in \Delta \text{ and } (d, e) \in r^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u.(\{d\} \sqcap \exists r. \{e\}) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{r \in N_R} \prod_{d, e \in \Delta \text{ and } (d, e) \notin r^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u.(\{d\} \sqcap \neg \exists r. \{e\}) \right), \text{ where } u \text{ is the universal role.}\end{aligned}$$

Given a set of  $\Delta$ -interpretations  $\{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}$ , we define

$$form(\{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}) = \top \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\tau(\mathcal{I}_i))$$

## Proposition

Given  $\{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}$ , we have  $\llbracket form(\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n) \rrbracket_\Delta = \{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}$  holds.

# From models to KB

Let  $\mathcal{I}_i$  be a  $\Delta$ -interpretation, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\mathcal{I}_i) = & \left( \prod_{a \in N_I(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \Delta, d \in \Delta \text{ and } a^{\mathcal{I}_i} = d} \exists u. (\{a\} \sqcap \{d\}) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{C \in N_C} \prod_{d \in \Delta \text{ and } d \in C^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u. (\{d\} \sqcap C) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{C \in N_C} \prod_{d \in \Delta \text{ and } d \notin C^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u. (\{d\} \sqcap \neg C) \right) \sqcap \\ & \left( \prod_{r \in N_R} \prod_{d, e \in \Delta \text{ and } (d, e) \in r^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u. (\{d\} \sqcap \exists r. \{e\}) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{r \in N_R} \prod_{d, e \in \Delta \text{ and } (d, e) \notin r^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u. (\{d\} \sqcap \neg \exists r. \{e\}) \right), \text{ where } u \text{ is the universal role.}\end{aligned}$$

Given a set of  $\Delta$ -interpretations  $\{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}$ , we define

$$form(\{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}) = \top \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\tau(\mathcal{I}_i))$$

## Proposition

Given  $\{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}$ , we have  $\llbracket form(\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n) \rrbracket_\Delta = \{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}$  holds.

## Model-based revision

$$\mathcal{K} \circ_\Delta \mathcal{K}' = \{form(\min(\llbracket \mathcal{K}' \rrbracket_\Delta, \preceq_{\mathcal{K}'}^\Delta))\}$$

# From models to KB

Let  $\mathcal{I}_i$  be a  $\Delta$ -interpretation, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\mathcal{I}_i) = & \left( \prod_{a \in N_I(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \Delta, d \in \Delta \text{ and } a^{\mathcal{I}_i} = d} \exists u. (\{a\} \sqcap \{d\}) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{C \in N_C} \prod_{d \in \Delta \text{ and } d \in C^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u. (\{d\} \sqcap C) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{C \in N_C} \prod_{d \in \Delta \text{ and } d \notin C^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u. (\{d\} \sqcap \neg C) \right) \sqcap \\ & \left( \prod_{r \in N_R} \prod_{d, e \in \Delta \text{ and } (d, e) \in r^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u. (\{d\} \sqcap \exists r. \{e\}) \right) \sqcap \left( \prod_{r \in N_R} \prod_{d, e \in \Delta \text{ and } (d, e) \notin r^{\mathcal{I}_i}} \exists u. (\{d\} \sqcap \neg \exists r. \{e\}) \right), \text{ where } u \text{ is the universal role.}\end{aligned}$$

Given a set of  $\Delta$ -interpretations  $\{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}$ , we define

$$form(\{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}) = \top \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\tau(\mathcal{I}_i))$$

## Proposition

Given  $\{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}$ , we have  $\llbracket form(\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n) \rrbracket_\Delta = \{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n\}$  holds.

## Model-based revision

$$\mathcal{K} \circ_\Delta \mathcal{K}' = \{form(\min(\llbracket \mathcal{K}' \rrbracket_\Delta, \preceq_{\mathcal{K}'}^\Delta))\}$$

## Proposition

The model-based change operator  $\circ_\Delta$  satisfies the postulates (G1)–(G6).

# Individual-based Approach

- Syntax-based revision
- Idea: when inconsistent, axioms are **weakened**, i.e. modified by adding some exceptions from the domain elements
- Preprocessing: transform KB  $\mathcal{K}$  to RBox-free KB  $\text{trans}_\Delta(\mathcal{K})$

# Individual-based Approach

- Syntax-based revision
- Idea: when inconsistent, axioms are **weakened**, i.e. modified by adding some exceptions from the domain elements
- Preprocessing: transform KB  $\mathcal{K}$  to RBox-free KB  $\text{trans}_\Delta(\mathcal{K})$

## Weakened knowledge base

Given some exceptional individuals  $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta$  with  $\Delta' = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . Consider an axiom  $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$ :

- (1) If  $\sigma = C \sqsubseteq D$ , then  $\sigma^{-\Delta'} = C \sqcap \neg\{a_1\} \sqcap \dots \sqcap \neg\{a_n\} \sqsubseteq D$ .
- (2) If  $\sigma = C(a_i)$ , then  $\sigma^{-\Delta'} = \top(a_i)$  if  $a_i \in \Delta'$  and  $\sigma^{-\Delta'} = C(a_i)$  otherwise.
- (3) If  $\sigma = r(a, b)$ , then  $\sigma^{-\Delta'} = u(a, b)$  if  $a \in \Delta'$ , and  $\sigma^{-\Delta'} = r(a, b)$  otherwise.

The **weakened knowledge base**  $\mathcal{K}^{-\Delta'}$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  w.r.t.  $\Delta'$  is  $\mathcal{K}^{-\Delta'} = \{\sigma^{-\Delta'} \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{K}\}$

# Individual-based Revision

## Exceptional individual set

A set of exceptional individuals w.r.t.  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}'$  is a set  $Exc \subseteq \Delta$  such that  $\mathcal{K}^{-Exc} \cup \mathcal{K}'$  is consistent. We use  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}')$  to denote the set of all sets of exceptional individuals w.r.t.  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}'$ .

# Individual-based Revision

## Exceptional individual set

A set of exceptional individuals w.r.t.  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}'$  is a set  $Exc \subseteq \Delta$  such that  $\mathcal{K}^{-Exc} \cup \mathcal{K}'$  is consistent. We use  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}')$  to denote the set of all sets of exceptional individuals w.r.t.  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}'$ .

## Individual-based Revision

$$\mathcal{K} \circ_{\Delta}^{\pi} \mathcal{K}' = \begin{cases} \text{trans}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{K})^{-\pi(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}'))} \cup \mathcal{K}' & \text{if } \mathcal{K}' \text{ is consistent,} \\ \mathcal{K}' & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\pi : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\Delta)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta)$  is a selection function retrieving subset-minimal elements, i.e.  $\pi(\mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{X}$  and there is no  $Y \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $Y \subset \pi(\mathcal{X})$ .

# Individual-based Revision

## Exceptional individual set

A set of exceptional individuals w.r.t.  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}'$  is a set  $Exc \subseteq \Delta$  such that  $\mathcal{K}^{-Exc} \cup \mathcal{K}'$  is consistent. We use  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}')$  to denote the set of all sets of exceptional individuals w.r.t.  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}'$ .

## Individual-based Revision

$$\mathcal{K} \circ_{\Delta}^{\pi} \mathcal{K}' = \begin{cases} \text{trans}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{K})^{-\pi(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}'))} \cup \mathcal{K}' & \text{if } \mathcal{K}' \text{ is consistent,} \\ \mathcal{K}' & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\pi : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\Delta)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta)$  is a selection function retrieving subset-minimal elements, i.e.  $\pi(\mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{X}$  and there is no  $Y \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $Y \subset \pi(\mathcal{X})$ .

## Proposition

The individual-based change operator  $\circ_{\Delta}^{\pi}$  satisfies postulates (G1)-(G3), (G5), and (G6).

# Example

$$\mathcal{K} = \{\textit{Professor} \sqsubseteq \textit{Lecturer}, \textit{Professor}(\textit{sebastian})\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}' = \{\textit{Professor} \sqsubseteq \top, \textit{Lecturer} \sqsubseteq \top, \textit{Professor}(\textit{markus}), \neg \textit{Lecturer}(\textit{sebastian})\}$$

# Example

$$\mathcal{K} = \{\textit{Professor} \sqsubseteq \textit{Lecturer}, \textit{Professor}(\textit{sebastian})\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}' = \{\textit{Professor} \sqsubseteq \top, \textit{Lecturer} \sqsubseteq \top, \textit{Professor}(\textit{markus}), \neg \textit{Lecturer}(\textit{sebastian})\}$$

Model-based revision:

$$\mathcal{K} \circ_{\Delta} \mathcal{K}' = \{\top \sqsubseteq (\exists u.(\{\textit{markus}\} \sqcap \textit{Professor})) \sqcap (\exists u.(\{\textit{sebastian}\} \sqcap \textit{Professor})) \sqcap \\ (\exists u.(\{\textit{markus}\} \sqcap \textit{Lecturer})) \sqcap (\exists u.(\{\textit{sebastian}\} \sqcap \neg \textit{Lecturer}))\}$$

# Example

$$\mathcal{K} = \{\text{Professor} \sqsubseteq \text{Lecturer}, \text{Professor}(\text{sebastian})\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}' = \{\text{Professor} \sqsubseteq \top, \text{Lecturer} \sqsubseteq \top, \text{Professor}(\text{markus}), \neg \text{Lecturer}(\text{sebastian})\}$$

Model-based revision:

$$\mathcal{K} \circ_{\Delta} \mathcal{K}' = \{\top \sqsubseteq (\exists u.(\{ \text{markus} \} \sqcap \text{Professor})) \sqcap (\exists u.(\{ \text{sebastian} \} \sqcap \text{Professor})) \sqcap \\ (\exists u.(\{ \text{markus} \} \sqcap \text{Lecturer})) \sqcap (\exists u.(\{ \text{sebastian} \} \sqcap \neg \text{Lecturer}))\}$$

Individual-based revision:

$$\mathcal{K} \circ_{\Delta}^{\pi} \mathcal{K}' = \{\text{Professor} \sqcap \neg \{ \text{sebastian} \} \sqsubseteq \text{Lecturer}, \top(\text{sebastian}), \text{Professor} \sqsubseteq \top, \\ \text{Lecturer} \sqsubseteq \top, \text{Professor}(\text{markus}), \neg \text{Lecturer}(\text{sebastian})\}$$

# Conclusions

- Semantic characterization of AGM revision operator in DL under fixed-domain semantics
- Concrete revision approaches for DL under fixed-domain semantics
  - Model-based approach
  - Individual-based approach by axioms weakening

## Future work:

- new axiom construction for model-based revision to be more human-readable
- efficient implementation and evaluation